IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

WRIT PETITION NO : 9838 of 1995

Between:

B.Jai Ranga Reddy S/o.Venkat Raj Reddy
R/o.Kurmidda Village Yacharam Mandal
R.R.Dist

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Yacharam Mandal Ranga Reddy District.
2 The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein not to dispossess the petitioner herein from the assigned land viz., the land admeasuring Ac.3-20 gts, situated in Sy.Nos.2/2 of Kurmida Village, Yacharam Mandal, R.R.District and consequently direct the 1st respondent herein to conduct the enquiry by issuing notice to the petitioner herein before passing final orders in proceedings No.B/818/94, dated 19-4-1995, on the file of the 1st respondent herein.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SMT.G.JYOTHI KIRAN

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

ORDER :

The grievance of the petitioner is that though a show-cause notice was given to him, it was vague, yet, he had replied. But, without passing of any final order, he was sought to be evicted from the land. In his reply to the show-cause notice, he had also asked for personal hearing, which was not given. The learned Counsel for respondents submit that the explanation was given only on 24.09.1995 and immediately thereafter, the petitioner approached this Court and obtained a stay.

In this factual back-ground, I dispose of the Writ Petition with a direction to the respondents to consider the matter, give a personal hearing to the petitioner and consider the objections filed by him earlier and pass orders in accordance with law. Till the orders are passed by the respondents, the petitioner may not be dispossessed.

Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

(BILAL NAZKI, J)

04th November, 2004.

ajr

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Yacharam Mandal Ranga Reddy District.
2 The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District.

3 Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (O.U.T).

4 Two C.D. copies.